

The Significance of Comprehending Ontology and Epistemology within the Framework of Practitioner Research

Abdullah Khan ¹

Volume 1, Issue 1 (2024)

Pages: 35–42

DOI: 10.62843/jefr/2022.8359404

Abstract: *The point of this study is to give you something to think about when you think about how professors set the stage for their research by looking at identity and acculturation in educational institutions. The fact that this is done shows how important it is for researchers to align their philosophical views, epistemological views, and dataset collection and analysis methods. The goal of this study is to look into the different methods that are used to solve the problem of identity development, with a focus on exploring problems with ontological and epistemological knowledge. Some examples of these research methods can be found in three studies that the experts did in schools. Researchers can better think about and improve their projects if they have a deeper understanding of the fundamental substructures that affect their studies. In turn, this will allow them to participate in their projects in a more useful way.*

Keywords: Ontology, Epistemology, Research, Analysis

Introduction

The variables of policy, authority, and identity are essential to consider while conducting an investigation into the manner in which educational institutions navigate their way through conditions that are constantly shifting (Hammond et al., 2019). With regard to the views of the various stakeholders, these variables might be experienced in a variety of different ways. Within the context of educational institutions, the viewpoints of teachers and those of students are the ones that most clearly communicate the differences between them. There is more proof that they exist in the way that schools encourage behaviours that mirror the zeitgeist or institutional ethos, which may then reflect how people think in general society. What kinds of notice, respect, standing, and inclusion are given or not given depends on how people and groups are judged and how they are compared to other groups. The important questions that education researchers need to answer are how to study how identities change over time, how these changes affect teaching and learning, and how to study the things that make up national identities. To build a framework for understanding the different identities, people gain during acculturation, we need to look at how the beliefs, values, and actions of different people affect how children define and reinterpret themselves as social beings and learners (Padilla et al., 2003). This framework should also take into account how bigger social issues of power and the rhetorical parts of the curriculum make things worse and help come up with specific research questions that need to be looked into. Any study that looks at identity and acculturation, whether it's about kids or teachers, is always set in a certain social, cultural, and political setting. So, people should really think about their own views on what is real, how we know things, and how these views might help them make good study models.

Within the domain of scholarly investigation, the notions of "ontology" and "epistemology" frequently appear as theoretical ideas limited to philosophical discussions (Ejnavarzala, 2019). However, their importance extends beyond the boundaries of theoretical debate, particularly in the context of practitioner research.

¹ Department of Education, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University, Sheringal, Dir Upper, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.

Correspondence to Abdullah Khan, Department of Education, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University, Sheringal, Dir Upper, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Email: abeerabdullah201@gmail.com

Cite this Article as Khan, A. (2024). The Significance of Comprehending Ontology and Epistemology within the Framework of Practitioner Research. *Journal of Education and Finance Review*, 1(1), 35–42. <https://doi.org/10.62843/jefr/2022.8359404>

Professionals must possess a comprehensive understanding of ontology and epistemology in order to conduct research aimed at enhancing their professional practices. This paper examines the significance of having an awareness of ontology and epistemology in practitioner research, elucidating how these fundamental philosophical perspectives impact the research process and outcomes. Ontology focuses primarily on the fundamental nature of reality and the fundamental assumptions about existence. This prompts academics to investigate the fundamental nature of the events under study, exploring whether they are objective, subjective, or socially constructed. Epistemology, on the other hand, centres on the fundamental nature of knowledge and how it is obtained, emphasising the approaches that researchers employ to understand and interpret reality (Sol et al., 2022). The philosophical underpinnings exert a profound influence on the formulation of research design, methodology, data collection, analysis, and interpretation. The concepts of ontology and epistemology play a vital role in practitioner research, as their main objective is to address practical problems and improve professional methodologies. Practitioners turned researchers must address queries regarding the fundamental nature of the phenomena they seek to understand, acknowledging the subjective perspectives inherent in their observations and interpretations. Practitioners can engage in critical reflection of their research frameworks by being mindful of their underlying ontological and epistemological assumptions. This guarantees that their queries are grounded in a sophisticated comprehension of actuality.

Moreover, having an awareness of ontology and epistemology fosters self-reflection in practitioner research, prompting researchers to continuously examine their own perspectives, biases, and assumptions throughout the study (Bahari, 2012). Reflexivity is essential for maintaining accuracy and integrity, as it enables professionals to navigate the complexities of their work situations with mindfulness and empathy. Furthermore, having a thorough understanding of the basic principles and theories that underpin research allows individuals to engage successfully with existing literature and theoretical frameworks. Practitioners can improve their research by placing it within broader philosophical discourses, allowing them to include ideas from several perspectives and, therefore, contribute to the advancement of knowledge in their field. Comprehending ontology and epistemology is not solely a matter of theoretical concepts debated in philosophy; rather, they are crucial for carrying out practitioner research. By embracing these fundamental perspectives, researchers can enhance their understanding of their research inquiries, enhance the comprehensiveness and self-reflection of their research methodologies, and produce substantial progress in both theoretical and practical dimensions within their respective disciplines. This essay aims to underscore the importance of understanding ontology and epistemology in practitioner research. It emphasises how this understanding can facilitate transformation and foster the development of knowledge, ultimately resulting in beneficial changes in professional contexts.

Significance of the Study

Research on ontology and epistemology awareness in practitioner research enables practitioners to conduct more rigorous and contextually relevant investigations. This field of inquiry enhances self-awareness, rigour in research methods, and specialised knowledge by fostering a deeper understanding of research philosophy. It assists professionals in making informed assessments, employing evidence-based treatments, and enhancing their fields of expertise.

Objective of the Study

The study's goal is to find out if it might be helpful for practitioners to learn more about the philosophical foundations of research. The goal is to look into how understanding ontology and epistemology might help improve the accuracy of study methods, encourage self-reflection, and increase professional knowledge. The main goal of the research is

to give professionals the knowledge and tools they need to make smart choices, use evidence-based interventions, and bring about good changes in their own fields by giving them a deep understanding of research principles.

The rationale of the Study

Realising the huge impact philosophical views have on the research process and outcomes is the main reason for studying how important it is to be aware of ontology and epistemology in practitioner research. It is important for professionals to understand how ontological and epistemological assumptions affect the ways they do research, how they process it, and what effects it has. This is because more and more professionals are doing research to solve real-world problems and make their work better. The goal of this study is to help practitioners do more detailed and relevant investigations by stressing how important it is to be aware of ontology and epistemology. This will help them make smart choices and make things better in their work lives in the long run.

An Analysis of Ontology: Exploring the Essence of Being

The goal of social science research is to understand people's mental processes in relation to social reality and how these beliefs impact their behaviour in that setting. Investigating ontological distinctions is crucial for researchers as it reveals how their own beliefs impact their ability to uncover social reality (Guala et al., 2022). There are clear connections between how people see realities that are made by society and the decisions they make about how to study them. Being a researcher, one should be free to use different ontological views, which are different ways of understanding and interpreting social reality. On the one hand, this might mean one should have to accept the thought that the field of social interactions exists apart from how others see it. Reasonable and objective existence is the subject, and scientific and positivist methods can be used to study it. For a long time, this legacy has had a big effect on the basic ideas of study, especially in the physical sciences. Being a researcher, one should think that social reality is what happens when people connect with each other and give their surroundings meaning. In this job, the researcher can actively try to understand how people interact with each other in real life by using careful research methods. Two study methods have been shaped by historical, cultural, and philosophical factors that should be acknowledged.

Examining the Dissemination of Knowledge: An Analysis of Epistemological Factors

Epistemology concerns the generation and distribution of knowledge in certain fields of investigation (Boon et al., 2018). The epistemological framework of the social sciences has been derived from the methodologies employed in the natural sciences, which have gradually evolved over time. Individuals held the belief that scientific procedures had the ability to offer the "definitive truths of the physical sciences and the logical and mathematical certainties derived from deduction." According to this tradition, after meticulously controlling and monitoring external conditions, they can be subjected to tests to uncover information not only about atoms, mathematics, and mechanics but also about human behaviour (Kooli, 2022). This perspective on knowing is grounded in the metaphysical notion that individuals' behaviours demonstrate the existence of an organised and rule-governed external reality. Considering this, there exists a hypothesis positing that individuals' actions and behaviours are predominantly governed by factors beyond their conscious volition. The field of education is still significantly influenced by logic positivism. Furthermore, it has significantly impacted the methods of collecting and disseminating information pertaining to education. The American Association for Education Research in the United States has observed an increasing allocation of funds by federal programmes towards research designs that prioritise "the accumulation of scientific evidence in education through the use of randomised controlled trials (Hedges et al., 2018)." Advocates argue that this strategy is logical as it enables policymakers to acquire pertinent data that demonstrates explicit

connections between post-intervention occurrences in large-scale education initiatives. However, due to the rigorous nature of this investigation, it is not feasible to determine causal linkages, let alone uncover them. It solely facilitates interpersonal acquaintance. Clear boundaries have been established when it comes to study methodologies and the logical positivist mindset. The intriguing aspect is its failure to acknowledge the distinct philosophical distinctions between knowledge pertaining to objects and knowledge pertaining to individuals. The positivist approach to the social sciences, in its simplest form, either disregards human agency entirely or diminishes it to the extent that it becomes devoid of significance.

Social scientists believe that understanding the significance of human interactions within their historical and cultural contexts requires study to prioritise the individuals who are responsible for their actions (Sagiv et al., 2022). The positivist view is acceptable as long as it is recognised as a cultural manifestation of a specific approach to studying, which is limited to the Judeo-Christian tradition of the Enlightenment and not applicable universally or beyond. The sociocultural approach to studying requires a thorough comprehension of social processes within their specific contexts. The interpretative tradition posits that power dynamics and the perpetuation of structural inequalities in society are evident in both the content and delivery of instruction. This tradition could perhaps serve as an alternative to scientism as a foundation for a more comprehensive examination of social issues. Critical realism is a cognitive approach that employs all the methodologies of educational research to obtain the most comprehensive understanding of the practices, patterns, and outcomes in schools. Positivism and critical realism are both significant paradigms for studying social facts. The objectives of this research are to establish a rigorous framework for analysing and understanding data, to bridge the divide between positivist and interpretative approaches, and to situate this discussion within its historical context. Diverse challenges in studying and doing research arise from varying assumptions about the true nature of society, as previously discussed.

Cases of Cultural Identity Research Paradigms

The question still stands as to which research tradition and method would be best suited to fully exploring the ideas of student identity and belonging. It was on purpose to only use these research works to show how difficult it is to talk about student identity when different research methods are used. There is a chance that this approach will oversimplify different points of view, which could make it harder to see that research can be anywhere on a range between being very positivist and very interpretative. In any case, this is not true. Even though I'm aware of these things, I also know that because of limited space, it makes sense to use a few study papers that serve as broad categories and help us learn more about different paradigms.

The Ramifications of Taking a Positivist and Logical Stance

A quantitative methodology to measure the success of an intervention programme that was aimed to promote student receptivity to individuals who were either culturally or ethnically different from themselves (Oh et al., 2016). This was done in the context of the scientific tradition. The design of the study project included conducting a pre- and post-test analysis on the data obtained from a survey that was administered to a total of 830 elementary school students who were given the opportunity to participate in the "Green Circle Programme." The purpose of this curriculum was to change the way children think about other people, and via the use of talks, it was believed that children would be able to cultivate a "conceptual" expansion of their circle of friends. The hypothesis was that the programme would, over the course of time, result in beneficial improvements in the inclusiveness of their preferences for playing and sharing. For the purpose of evaluating the impact of the programme, the research utilised a pictographic response questionnaire on the participants. During the intervention, the children were asked to reply to a series of prompt questions and to tick faces that may have corresponded to how they felt both before and after the

interaction. The researchers were dissatisfied with the outcomes of the intervention given that "none of our analysis... revealed evidence supporting the expectation that Green Circle would increase the inclusiveness of children's play preferences". This was a disappointing finding for the researchers.

In order to provide an explanation for their unsatisfactory findings, the researchers suggested many potential possibilities. In the first case, the issue at hand was the pictorial scale that was utilised in order to obtain information from infants and young children. According to the authors, the grid 'was too sophisticated for children this age to be entirely attentive to tiny changes in their preferences' because, as the authors explained, 'first and second graders are limited in their use of symbolic language'. The researchers also questioned whether or not the use of "sociometric ratings" as visual stimuli, which represented actual students in various classrooms, might not have been more effective than drawings of youngsters who were unknown to them. One of the things that makes this explanation problematic is the fact that the authors have not questioned whether or not the research design itself might have been flawed. The authors have not participated in critical analysis of the program's content or delivery, nor have any of the researchers actually made an effort to find out directly from the children who were concerned about how they felt about the programme. Rather, the capacity of the youngsters to interact with the stimuli in a manner that is coherent with the researchers' expectations is what is considered to be the source of the problem.

One further possible explanation that was suggested for the disappointing research findings was that the examinations were described as taking place in "an elementary school setting, which is much less controlled than laboratory settings." It is possible that the experiment might have been more successful if the behaviour of the youngsters had been subjected to more stringent testing or if there had been a greater degree of control over the individuals involved. As a matter of fact, with regard to the degree to which agency or volition is discernible in this study, the schoolchildren who participated may have been viewed as blood cells beneath the microscopes of the scientific community. It would be unprofessional to assert that all positivist methodologies are inappropriate as a means of accessing and sharing insights on how children's identities are established and interpreted based on the criticism of a single piece of empirical research. This would be the case since the criticism would be applied to a single piece of study. On the other hand, the logical positivist approach has been found to have some limitations. This method, in particular, has a tendency to simplify the complexities of social relationships and fails to reflect the socio-cultural character of mankind as it is actually experienced by people. When it comes to explaining how children's identities can be scripted by others and exposing the ways in which children are the writers of their own identities, an alternative epistemology may prove to be more fruitful.

Possible Repercussions of Employing Critical Theory

Those who engage in critical social thinking adopt a radical social constructivist perspective on knowledge, as opposed to the logical positivist view of knowing. Racism must maintain and develop a "radical, critical edge." Additionally, this is done while keeping in mind the ways in which racism and race influence the experiences that children have while attending school. The quantitative study data in order to provide support for his viewpoint that the level of institutional racism that exists in schools and other educational institutions in the United Kingdom is continuously unacceptable. Another thing that advises is that academics look at several sorts of study methodologies, such as interest convergence, story-telling and counter-stories, and critical white studies, in order to determine how structural inequalities manifest themselves in the educational setting. Even if some of the ideas presented in the article are likely to be unique to the region and the educational environment, the article acknowledges the necessity of conducting a study of this kind. In the article, it is said that "in isolation, may have the unintended consequence of limiting our vision to what seems possible". Despite the fact that the article asserts that this kind of research is

required, this is nonetheless the case. Gillborn says that what is required is the capability to demonstrate fundamental inequities that may be found in national or local data sets, as well as the knowledge of how these inequalities manifest themselves in the classroom. The argument that Gillborn presents is that the conventional approach to binary study should be rethought (Gillborn, 2006). The researchers have a responsibility to explain any possible bias in their studies and demonstrate how taking an ideological stance can affect the findings of their research. One of the most helpful things that critical social theory has provided us with is a great deal of evidence regarding the functioning of structural inequality in educational systems. It is nevertheless necessary for researchers to provide an explanation for any suspected bias in their work, despite the fact that this proof originates from critical social theory. One of the potential challenges associated with employing a critical theorist approach in research that is considered to be "uncritical" is the possibility that the focus will become entangled with polemics. Despite the fact that this is a problem in every research tradition, it is essential to be aware of the researcher's ideological viewpoint when employing interpretative methods.

Significance of Employing Mixed Approaches

The creation of identities among African-American students attending a public high school. The study employed a mixed methods approach. When the technique was used, it was done so with the understanding that incorporating survey data in addition to ethnographic data would increase the comprehensiveness and scope of the study. Both socio-cultural and ecological theories regarding the formation of identity served as the foundation for the research that was conducted over the course of a period of two years (Peng, 2022). This research was founded on the epistemological assumption that students have an active role in the construction of their own identities and are influenced by the complex interplay of local, regional, and global forces that have an effect on the formation of identities and the adoption of social stereotypes. The research offered a comprehensive and meticulous description of a school that served as a case study. The school was highlighted for its simultaneous emphasis on identifying children who have the ability to achieve success and marginalising those who violate the cultural norms of the school. Consequently, this led to the marginalised pupils having low expectations for themselves and subsequently failing their classes. Twenty pages of the essay are devoted to the analysis of the ethnographic data, while only four pages are devoted to the investigation of the feedback that was gathered through the use of a survey instrument that was created to collect information from the students who participated. During the course of their investigation, the researchers came to the realisation that the insufficient design and effectiveness of the survey instruments was a significant restriction in the research technique. In spite of the fact that the authors do not criticise the methodology of mixed methods, I would want to raise some questions about the extent to which the rigour of the study was improved by using a mixed methods approach in this particular case. Nevertheless, the existence of external reality, as observed within the critical realist paradigm, can be investigated by utilising research techniques associated with quantitative methods, as long as these methods are not the exclusive means of illustrating how the complex process of identity formation is expressed in real-life circumstances.

Formulating a Justification for Varying Research Methodologies

Based on the analysis of various research methods, it is recognised that researchers' choice of methodology influences the data they collect and how they interpret their findings, depending on their philosophical beliefs and understanding of knowledge (Žukauskas et al., 2018). The majority of research on culturally responsive pedagogy and identity construction was mostly based on either ethnographic or case-study methods. However, recent discussions have emphasised the importance of intellectual adaptability and a willingness to critically examine attained outcomes and acquired knowledge as fundamental abilities needed to comprehend the intricate nature of

acculturation. This entails integrating the knowledge gained from qualitative interactions inside the classroom setting, along with the ability to analyse data that encompasses broader national and regional influences. This way of understanding knowledge requires the use of research methods that allow for a balance between inductive and deductive research approaches. Efforts should be made to create tools that can clarify the personal perspectives of the individuals being studied, allowing for a better understanding of their conceptual frameworks. These tools should also aid in the examination of theoretical concepts like critical race theory, as well as instances of institutional racism. By doing so, they can shed light on how these phenomena influence and limit the formation of one's identity. As previously mentioned in the framework of critical theory, it is important to acknowledge the ideological stance present in any research. By recognising one's prejudices, it becomes clear how the research questions are formulated. However, it is uncertain if it is enough to simply be conscious of the ideological frameworks that could potentially influence the design and progress of research.

When studying identity and culture, it is crucial to examine how our own socially and culturally formed identities as researchers and educators influence every stage of the research process (Altugan, 2015). Whiteness influences the self-perception of white teachers and their perception of their students, while blackness or brownness influences how students of colour perceive themselves and their white teachers. A profound understanding of this matter is not only for the development of instructors who are culturally competent but also for researchers who are exploring concepts related to race, ethnicity, and the construction of identity. As a researcher, it is crucial for me to recognise that my understanding of the world is shaped by the concepts I have access to. Consequently, individuals with diverse sets of concepts are likely to perceive the 'same' objective reality in distinct ways. Therefore, it is important for me, as the researcher, to not only express my own identity within the study but also to accurately convey how the participants may have regarded me.

Conclusion

In conclusion, having a solid grasp of ontology and epistemology is of the utmost importance within the context of practitioner research. Ontology is a philosophy that helps researchers understand the nature of reality and the entities that exist within it. Epistemology, on the other hand, is a philosophy that helps researchers understand how knowledge is obtained and authenticated. Practitioners have the ability to improve the rigour and relevance of their inquiries by first acknowledging the philosophical assumptions that form the basis of their study. In addition, this knowledge enables researchers to traverse difficulties, evaluate findings, and make important contributions to the disciplines in which they work. In the end, having a solid understanding of ontology and epistemology gives practitioners the ability to undertake research that is not only theoretically based but also practically useful. This allows them to contribute to the advancement of knowledge and to drive good change in their respective professional domains.

References

- Altugan, A. S. (2015). The relationship between cultural identity and learning. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 186, 1159–1162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.04.161>
- Bahari, S. F. (2012). Qualitative versus quantitative research strategies: Contrasting epistemological and ontological assumptions. *Jurnal Teknologi*. <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v52.134>
- Boon, M., & Van Baalen, S. (2018). Epistemology for interdisciplinary research – shifting philosophical paradigms of science. *European Journal for Philosophy of Science*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13194-018-0242-4>
- Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C. M., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2019). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97–140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791>
- Ejnavarzala, H. (2019). Epistemology–Ontology Relations in Social Research: A Review. *Sociological Bulletin*, 68(1), 94-104. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0038022918819369>
- Gillborn, D. (2006). Critical Race Theory and Education: Racism and anti-racism in educational theory and praxis. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, 27(1), 11–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01596300500510229>
- Guala, F., & Hindriks, F. (2022). The nature and significance of social ontology. *Synthese*, 201(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-023-04142-1>
- Hedges, L. V., & Schauer, J. (2018). Randomised trials in education in the USA. *Educational Research*, 60(3), 265–275. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2018.1493350>
- Kooli, C. (2022). Chatbots in education and research: A critical examination of ethical implications and solutions. *Sustainability*, 15(7), 5614. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15075614>
- Oh, C. J., & Kim, N. Y. (2016). “Success Is Relative”: Comparative Social Class and Ethnic Effects in an Academic Paradox. *Sociological Perspectives*, 59(2), 270–295. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/26339113>
- Padilla, A. M., & Pérez, W. (2003). Acculturation, Social Identity, and Social Cognition: A New Perspective. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 25(1), 35–55. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739986303251694>
- Sagiv, L., & Schwartz, S. H. (2022). Personal Values Across Cultures. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 73(1), 517–546. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-020821-125100>
- Sol, K., & Heng, K. (2022). Understanding epistemology and its key approaches in research. *Cambodian Journal of Educational Research*, 2(2), 80–99. <https://doi.org/10.62037/cjer.2022.02.02.05>
- Žukauskas, P., Vveinhardt, J., & Andriukaitienė, R. (2018). Philosophy and paradigm of scientific research. In *InTech eBooks*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.70628>